

# FPHS STATEMENTS

Directions: Please place your dot according to your support for including each of the statements below in the policy workgroups final recommendations.

1. Washington should adopt the FPHS framework and definitions (while acknowledging definitions may be refined in the future).

Strongly Do Not Support

Do Not Support

Neutral

Support

Strongly Support

COMMENTS

2. The state should fund all FPHS that are not either (1) funded by dedicated federal grants or (2) paid for by locally-collected fees.

Strongly Do Not Support

Do Not Support

Neutral

Support

Strongly Support

COMMENTS

# FPHS STATEMENTS

Directions: Please place your dot according to your support for including each of the statements below in the policy workgroups final recommendations.

3. State funding for FPHS should be funded with statutorily-directed revenues placed into a dedicated FPHS account.

Strongly Do Not Support

Do Not Support

Neutral

Support

Strongly Support

COMMENTS

4. DOH and WSALPHO should collaboratively develop and agree upon a FPHS account funding allocation and distribution model. Funding for LHJs should be allocated directly from the account to LHJs.

Strongly Do Not Support

Do Not Support

Neutral

Support

Strongly Support

COMMENTS

# FPHS STATEMENTS

Directions: Please place your dot according to your support for including each of the statements below in the policy workgroups final recommendations.

5. DOH and WSALPHO should collaboratively develop and agree upon an accountability structure to align with the framework.

Strongly Do Not Support

Do Not Support

Neutral

Support

Strongly Support

COMMENTS

# AIS STATEMENTS

Directions: Please place your dot according to your level of agreement with each of the statements below.

A. I am afraid that the state legislature will shift funds or restrict funds that are currently spent on AIS to FPHS, resulting in decreasing funding for AIS.

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly Agree

COMMENTS

B. I am afraid that local jurisdictions (counties and cities) will reduce funding for public health if FPHS is funded by the state, resulting in decreasing funding for AIS.

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly Agree

COMMENTS

# AIS STATEMENTS

Directions: Please place your dot according to your level of agreement for the statements below.

C. I am afraid that including directive statements (e.g. “the state should...” or “locals should...”)  
about funding for AIS will reduce the chances that FPHS gets funding from the legislature.

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly Agree

COMMENTS

D. I am willing to exclude AIS from our final recommendations in order to focus the legislature on  
FPHS.

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly Agree

COMMENTS

# AIS STATEMENTS

Directions: Please place your dot according to your level of agreement for the statements below.

E. I believe that addressing AIS in our final product is outside the charter and charge of this group.

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly Agree

COMMENTS

F. I believe that by not addressing AIS directly in the final product we risk losing funding for it.

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly Agree

COMMENTS

# What should we call non-FPHS services provided by governmental public health?

Suggested Names	Pros	Cons
Non-FPHS		
Additional Important Services (AIS)		
Important Public Health Services (IPHS)		

# Audience and Purpose

- **Audience**
  - State legislators
  - Local elected officials
  - Organizations and associations represented by policy workgroup members
- **Purpose**
  - Officially record the policy workgroup's recommendations
  - Introduce the concept of FPHS and the FPHS Framework
  - Educate readers about the vision for FPHS

# Timeline and Process

- **Timeline**
  - Have it ready prior to the start of the legislative session
- **Process**
  - One piece of a 2-3 year public health communication strategy which will include multiple communication materials

# Potential Outcomes for the Legislative Session

- **At a minimum**
  - Educate policy makers about public health generally and FPHS specifically, get philosophical buy-in from the legislature regarding the FPHS Framework and Vision
- **Hopefully, maybe**
  - Incorporate FPHS language in state statute, establish dedicated fund for FPHS
- **Long-shot**
  - Get some dedicated funding for FPHS