

PUBLIC HEALTH IMPROVEMENT PARTNERSHIP

PUBLIC HEALTH PARTNERING WITH THE HEALTH CARE WORKGROUP

NOVEMBER 18, 2013 Meeting

PARTICIPANTS

<i>Co-Chairs</i>	Gary Goldbaum (Snohomish); Bruce Gray (NWRPCA)
<i>DOH Lead</i>	Sue Grinnell
<i>Staff</i>	Jane Lee, Simana Dimitrova (DOH)
<i>Members Present</i>	Janna Wilson (Seattle-King); Joan Brewster (Grays Harbor); Joel McCullough (Spokane); Regina Delahunt (Whatcom); Lloyd David (The Polyclinic); Thomas Varghese (Harborview); Tao Kwan-Gett (NWCPHP); Ann Christian (WCMHC); Candace Goehring (DSHS); Charissa Fotinos, Kat Latet (HCA); Christine Gilbert (WHBE); Claudia Sanders (WSHA); Karen Jensen, Janna Bardi, Maria Courogen, Martin Mueller (DOH)
<i>Facilitator</i>	Eric Svaren (Groupsmith Consulting)

WELCOME

Gary Goldbaum, Co-Chair

Dr. Goldbaum introduced the agenda and clarified that the purpose of this meeting might be too focused on process, but we want to be very clear we have the same understanding and agree on definitions. He also introduced Bruce Gray as the new co-chair of the workgroup representing health care practice.

REVIEW AND DISCUSS DRAFT CHARTER

Eric Svaren, Facilitator

Eric walked the workgroup through the revised charter with input from the workgroup's first meeting:

Workgroup Name

Public Health – Health Care Delivery System Partnership

Goals

Clarification was requested by the group on what is the level of the workgroup's goals. Dr. Goldbaum elaborated that the goals are envisioned to be on statewide level (i.e. immunizations) or at the local level in the form of small projects. It was suggested we investigate best practices from around the country that we can implement in Washington State. Others encouraged state policy level approach via the State Innovation Models (SIM). Focus was also suggested on addressing particular disparity based on review of available data and analysis.

Sue Grinnell brought up the notion of developing criteria. Once we clarify barriers and opportunities, we can explore the development of criteria. Representative from the Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS) offered to provide data and help with the selection of a project. The co-chairs clarified that the group should focus not only on process, but also on infrastructure.

It was suggested that this group demonstrates our capacity to collaborate. How does this work fit with the SIM? Sue's input was that this group's work should inform and coordinate with the larger vision. However, currently there is a discrepancy between our work and timeline with that of the SIM which is happening now. The work of this partnership needs to be an iterative process. We must pay close attention to the SIM as it evolves, how can we play a role down the road? We must stay attuned to what gets approved. We will continue this conversation in December when we talk about a workplan.

Deliverables

Dr. Goldbaum pointed out that the goal is to implement the product of this group in 2015. We must keep a transparent agenda so everyone knows what we are doing. Many participants support the development of clear principles for future collaboration between public health and the health care delivery system. And we should begin work on these now while the work on other projects evolves. The co-chairs cautioned that we don't end up staying too conceptual but rather be more concrete. We will continue to fine tune the charter as direction and projects evolve.

Relationship to Other Group

It was agreed that we need to pay attention to initiatives, activities and other workgroups that are engaged in relevant work and seek opportunities, synergies and collaboration when possible so this group adds value to the transformation of health delivery in our state. We must understand the landscape, have awareness of the environments and share what we do. We also must identify key state agencies and specify that they are drivers not only stakeholders.

Changes to the chapter will be shared with the group during their next meeting in December.

DEFINITIONS

Jane shared with the workgroup draft [definitions](#) requested during the first meeting.

NEXT STEPS AND HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT

Before the December meeting all participants will be contacted with questions designed to identify challenges and opportunities. These will be analyzed and shared during the workgroup's next meeting.

Evaluation

- Good materials
- Hybrid meeting worked well