

# PUBLIC HEALTH IMPROVEMENT PARTNERSHIP

## State Health Improvement Plan

### Workgroup Meeting Notes

August 21, 2014

2:00 PM to 4:00 PM

**Purpose:** define the three things Public Health is doing to improve health outcomes

**Attendees:** Torney Smith, Pamela Lovinger, Astrid Newell, Marguerite Ro, Susan Kinne, Sue Grinnell, Marie Flake, Simana Dimitrova, and Megan Davis

#### **Overview**

Pam let the group know that David Solet has retired, and Marguerite will attend SHIP meetings, and can call in an Epi if need be. Cathy Wasserman will join the group in future meetings.

Torney, Astrid, and Pam met recently with John, and set today's meeting's goal.

#### **Comparing the SHIP to other targets and plans**

Pam reviewed the charts developed to compare the various targets and plans.

Astrid's chart shows alignment between Agenda for Change, Results WA, Local plans (CHIPS, CHNAS) and the RWJ framework's 3 main areas.

Jane and Juliet's summary matrix lines up Agenda for Change, CHNAs, CHIPS/CHAs, Results WA, SHCIP, and Winnable Battles.

#### **Three things public health is working on (John's vision)**

Torney stated our main tasks today:

- 1 – understand the breadth of public health's work, far beyond LJHs, within the 3 domains we have selected, and align with existing work without bringing something new to the table.
- 2 – meet Secretary Wiesman's need for 2-3 things Public Health can move the needle on.

#### **Discussion Priority 1 – Invest in the health and well-being of our youngest children and families**

Desired outcome: "Increase the proportion of middle and high school youth who have a healthy weight"

Key measures: Results WA/Healthy Youth Survey at ages 6, 8, 10, and 12;

Potential Areas for Intervention – categorize by community, school, health care, etc (give everyone a place to engage, doubles as a logic model...outcome on right.

#### **Priority 2 – Support development of healthy neighborhoods and communities**

Torney mentioned commonalities in several CHIPs: BFHD, Kitsap, Whatcom, Stevens, Spokane - physical activity and healthy foods.

Desired outcome: Increase the proportion of people who feel safe in their neighborhood/community –

Key measures: Perceived safety from the 2013 BRFSS. Feeling safe in your neighborhood on the Healthy Youth Survey. Snohomish County has measures about bullying. Use both indicators.

In the SHIP, list all of the potential interventions from the scoping document – safe streets, pedestrian, bike safety, crime, etc., for folks to choose from.

### **Priority 3 – Broaden health care to promote health outside the medical system**

Sue reported that her group got their work into the SIM grant. The group presents their measures to John and Dorothy on September 18. She will send us the measures they identified in their priority areas.

Idea: What we commit to, is that LHJs are engaged and sharing non-medical data with clinical care and the data are used to change the system.

Potential outcome: Number of LHJs that are actively participating in the ACHs or regional health collaboratives

Potential outcome: The regional and community plans that are created will include non-medical data

Pam will send out a summary of this meeting, and we will set up another meeting soon

\*\*\* NOTE and SAVE: We need to capture need for data that is not being collected and is NOT now being collected including things listed under Priority 2 Potential Areas for intervention to impact desired outcomes. The SHIP should include a section on future areas of what public health success will look like. And it should include a section on how PH works with the community.

Adjourned at 4:00 by Pam