


Status and Trends Summary

Fecal Coliform Pollution in Drayton Harbor through 2008



June 24, 2009

Introduction

The Washington State Department of Health (DOH) protects consumers from eating shellfish contaminated by pollution. DOH continually monitors marine water for fecal pollution in shellfish growing areas and searches for pollution sources on shorelines and adjacent uplands.

Systematic Random Sampling (SRS). DOH uses a systematic random sampling (SRS) method mandated by the National Shellfish Sanitation Program (NSSP) to monitor shellfish growing areas. DOH measures levels of fecal bacteria in water samples collected at sampling stations in each area. Under SRS, samples are collected at even intervals over time. SRS purposely avoids targeting specific environmental factors, such as season, weather, tide, etc. SRS also requires a substantial minimum sample size (30 results) to calculate statistics used to classify growing areas. As a result, DOH data represent a wide range of environmental conditions encountered in the growing area. SRS ensures that unbiased, high-quality data are available for DOH tasks.

NSSP Growing Area Criteria. DOH uses the data gathered under SRS to classify shellfish beds according to level of fecal pollution. DOH applies the following NSSP criteria:

- The concentration of fecal coliform bacteria cannot exceed a geometric mean of 14 organisms per 100 milliliters (ml) in water (applied in all cases).
- The estimated 90th percentile cannot exceed 43 organisms per 100 ml of water (applied to areas where only nonpoint sources are present).

Appendix A explains how DOH uses SRS data to classify shellfish growing areas.

DOH has reported status and trends since 1998 for the Puget Sound Assessment and Monitoring Program (PSAMP). DOH also uses the information to report progress on remedial action programs.

Status and Trends Analysis for Drayton Harbor

DOH calculated NSSP statistics (geometric means and estimated 90th percentiles) for all Drayton Harbor sampling stations with a continuous sampling record. Statistics were calculated for the earliest sampling date possible (i.e., having the minimum required 30 results) and for all subsequent sampling dates through the end of calendar year 2008. Calculation of geometric means and estimated 90th percentiles for status and trends analysis are identical to the initial calculation for classification. All data collected according to NSSP guidelines are used for status and trends analysis. Extra sorting or editing of the data is inappropriate for status and trends analysis. However, it is sometimes necessary when classifying shellfish beds. See Appendix A to see how the Department uses the data for classification. (Note: results from targeted sampling events are not included in the databases used for either classification or status and trends.)

Results for status and trends are shown in this report in three parts:

1. Status of individual stations for calendar year 2008 (Figure 1)
2. Trend at individual stations, 2004-2008 (Figure 1), and 1995 -2008 (Figure 2)
3. Overall trend in growing area, 1998-2008 (Figure 3)

DOH uses estimated 90th percentiles to make inferences about status and trends because they are more sensitive to change than the geometric means. Note, however, both geometric means and 90th percentiles are included in the graphs in Figure 2.

1. Status of fecal pollution at each station in Drayton Harbor in 2008 using the FPI (Figure 1).

DOH developed a Fecal Pollution Index (FPI) to provide a single value to describe the annual status of fecal pollution. The FPI can be applied at several geographic levels (sampling stations, growing areas, regions within Puget Sound, or Puget-Sound wide). Figure 1 applies FPI to each sampling station in Drayton Harbor.

The estimated 90th percentiles for all dates in 2008 at each station in Drayton Harbor were sorted into three categories (GOOD, FAIR, BAD):

- **GOOD:** estimated 90th percentiles do not exceed the DOH “Threatened” threshold of 30 MPN per 100ml
- **FAIR:** estimated 90th percentiles exceed the “Threatened” threshold, but do not exceed the NSSP closure criterion of 43 MPN per 100ml.
- **BAD:** estimated 90th percentiles exceed the NSSP closure criterion of 43 MPN per 100ml.


The fraction of estimated 90th percentiles within each category is then multiplied by a corresponding weighting factor (GOOD: $\times 1.0$; FAIR: $\times 2.0$; or BAD: $\times 3.0$). The weighted fractions are then added to produce an annual FPI. The FPI ranges between 1.0 and 3.0. If all the estimated 90th percentiles in a year are GOOD, the index is 1.0 (i.e., 100% GOOD estimated 90th percentiles $\times 1.0$). If all the estimated 90th percentiles are BAD, the FPI is 3.0 (i.e., 100% BAD estimated 90th percentiles $\times 3.0$). Figure 1 shows the degree of fecal pollution in Drayton Harbor in 2008 in terms of FPI for each sampling station.

2. Trend of fecal pollution at each station in Drayton Harbor 2004-2008 (Figure 1) and 1995-2008 (Figure 2).

Figure 1 shows symbolically the trend in fecal pollution at each station for the most recent 5-year period (2004-2008). A green arrow pointing down means fecal pollution is decreasing. A red arrow pointing up means fecal pollution is increasing. Spearman's Rho was used to test the significance of the 5-year trends (significant at $p < 0.05$).

Figure 2 shows graphs of geometric means and estimated 90th percentiles plotted by sampling date for selected stations in Drayton Harbor for the 14-year period from 1995 through 2008. (Note: the graphs in Figure 2 show moving statistics calculated from individual results, not individual results.)

Figure 1. Status and trends in fecal pollution at Drayton Harbor through 2008.



General Observations on Figure 1:

- All but one station (Station 11) showed very high fecal pollution in 2008.
- Stations 4, 8, and 15 (east side) showed significant improvement during the most recent five years. However, the overall trend at Station 8 since 1995 suggests an overall worsening trend (see Station 8 on Figure 2, page 8).
- Station 11 (along the Semiahmoo Spit) and Stations 313, 314, and 315 (southwest shore) had records of statistics too short to justify trend analysis.

Figure 2. Trend in geometric means and estimated ninetieth percentiles (NSSP statistics) from 1995 through 2008 at DOH stations in Drayton Harbor.





Figure 2. (Cont.)





Figure 2. (Cont.)






Figure 2. (Cont.)



General Observations of Figure 2:

- Fecal pollution at Station 3 appeared to be stable through late 2004, increased through 2005, leveled off for several years, but jumped again in early 2008.
- Fecal pollution at Station 4 has gradually declined since 1998.
- Fecal pollution at Station 5 has gradually increased since late 1999.
- The trend at Station 6 is similar to that of Station 5, but more moderate.
- Fecal pollution at Station 8 has been highly variable over the years. Fecal pollution appears to have peaked in mid-2004, declined until late 2006, and jumped again in 2008.
- Fecal pollution at Station 12 has been relatively stable over the years.
- At Station 15, maximum fecal pollution occurred 6-8 years ago. Fecal pollution appears to have declined since that time.


2. Fecal Pollution in Drayton Harbor 1998-2009 using the FPI.

Figure 3 extends the concept of the Fecal Pollution Index (FPI) to all of Drayton Harbor. For each year of record, the estimated 90th percentiles for all dates and all stations in Drayton Harbor are sorted into the three categories (GOOD, FAIR, BAD) described on page 4. All 90th percentiles are included regardless of the classification of the sub area in which it is located (Approved, Conditionally Approved, etc.). The FPI is then calculated as described on page 5. Eventually the annual FPI for Drayton Harbor can be compared with 2008 FPIs from other growing areas in Puget Sound. The annual FPI calculated from all dates and stations in Drayton Harbor in 2008 was 2.84.

To compare fecal pollution in Drayton Harbor over time, annual FPIs were “standardized” by calculating them using only statistics from stations with a continuous record for the entire period. The “standardized” FPI for Drayton Harbor was calculated with statistics from stations 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, and 15 only. Stations 11, 313, 314, and 315 were excluded from the FPI calculations because statistics are not available for the entire period. The “standardized” FPI for 2008 was 2.96.

Figure 3 (next page) shows a bar chart of “standardized” annual FPIs in Drayton Harbor from 1998 through 2008.

Figure 3. Trend in fecal pollution impact in Drayton Harbor from 1998 through 2008 estimated by the “standardized” fecal pollution index (FPI).



General Observation of Figure 3:

- Fecal pollution appeared to decline by 2003.
- Fecal pollution intensified in subsequent years.
- Fecal pollution in 2008 has reached the highest level in 14 years.

APPENDIX A

Classifying Shellfish Growing Areas

DOH applies guidelines set by the National Shellfish Sanitation Program (NSSP). Each harvest area is classified into one or more of four categories:

- An area is classified **Approved** for unlimited harvest if water quality criteria are met and significant pollutant sources are absent.
- An area is classified **Conditionally Approved** if water quality criteria are met, except during pollution events that are *episodic* and *predictable*, such as rain-related runoff. Harvests from Conditionally Approved areas require a “Conditionally Approved Area Management Plan” (or CAAMP).
- An area is classified **Restricted** if it is subject to limited pollution. Shellfish from Restricted areas cannot be harvested directly. They may be “relayed” under strict supervision to clean waters for natural cleansing.
- If an area receives pollution that is *chronically excessive* and/or *unpredictable*, it is classified **Prohibited (P)**.

To classify a growing area, DOH evaluates two questions:

1. Does the area comply with the NSSP requirements for unlimited harvest of shellfish (Approved)?
2. If unlimited harvesting is not possible, are there conditions under which harvesting can be done (Conditionally Approved)?

Question 1: Does an area comply with Approved classification? DOH collects water samples in the growing area according to SRS (see **Introduction** on page 3). NSSP requires at least 30 samples be collected from each sampling station in a growing area. DOH calculates a geometric mean and a 90th percentile from the 30 results. These are compared to the NSSP criteria. Both the geometric mean and 90th percentile must meet the NSSP criteria.

1. The concentration of fecal coliform bacteria cannot exceed a geometric mean of 14 organisms per 100 milliliters (ml) in water (applied in all cases).
2. The estimated 90th percentile cannot exceed 43 organisms per 100 ml of water (applied to areas where only nonpoint sources are present); OR not more than ten percent of the samples are to exceed 43 organisms per 100 ml of water (applied where point sources are present).

Besides the collection of fecal pollution data, DOH carries out a “shoreline survey” of the upland watershed and the marine shoreline to find and assess pollution sources. DOH cannot approve an area if the shoreline survey reveals pollution that presents a public health hazard, even if the water quality meets the NSSP criteria. If statistics from all stations meet the NSSP criteria and

the shoreline survey does not reveal significant pollution that presents a public health hazard, DOH classifies the area Approved.

Question 2. Can a growing area be classified Conditionally Approved? If a shellfish growing area cannot be classified as Approved, DOH looks at the data to see if it can be classified Conditionally Approved. If conditions are found that would allow safe harvest, DOH prepares a “Conditionally Approved Area Management Plan” (CAAMP) for the area.

The most common Conditionally Approved classification is based on 24-hour rainfall. To set the rain-related condition, statistics are recalculated from edited data (i.e., fecal coliform results from the rainiest days are removed) to see if an upper limit on 24-hour rainfall exists below which harvest can be done. DOH puts the rainfall limit into a “Conditionally Approved Area Management Plan” (CAAMP) for the area. The current CAAMP for Drayton Harbor requires that the Conditionally Approved portion of Drayton Harbor be closed seasonally from November 1 through the last day of February.

Growing areas may also be classified Conditionally Approved based on season. To set the seasonally related condition, DOH calculates NSSP statistics after removing fecal coliform data collected during the rainiest months. If the statistics calculated from the edited data comply with the NSSP criteria, DOH prepares a CAAMP that permits harvest during the approved months. Under the seasonal CAAMP for Drayton Harbor, shellfish may be harvested during all months except November through the last day of February.

DOH reviews the classification of a growing area periodically. An objective review requires updated analysis of the water quality and the shoreline survey. For this reason DOH continues fecal pollution monitoring under SRS to ensure unbiased results are available when needed. Thus, DOH sampling continues even while the area does not meet the conditions specified in the CAAMP.