



Please MUTE your phones! EQuIP for LTC webinar will begin at 10:00 AM PST

WELCOME!

Today's topic is "Stewardship to Reduce CDI in Nursing Homes" 8/23/17









Please...

- Mute your phone if you are not speaking
- Do not put the phone line on hold
- Use the chat box to ask questions during the presentation





Reducing C. difficile Infections Through Antimicrobial Stewardship In Nursing Homes

Ghinwa Dumyati, MD Professor of Medicine Center for Community Health University of Rochester Medical Center Ghinwa_dumyati@urmc.rochester.edu August 23, 2017

PROJECT FUNDING

Project funded by New York State Department of Health No Conflict of Interest to Declare

OUTLINE

- Review our experience in implementing antimicrobial stewardship through Hospital-Nursing Home collaboration
- Describe our successes and challenges
- Share antimicrobial stewardship tools
- Discuss options to sustain an antimicrobial stewardship program (ASP)

IMPLEMENTING ANTIMICROBIAL STEWARDSHIP IN NURSING HOMES

Project objectives:

- Implement antimicrobial stewardship programs in Nursing homes (NH)
- 2. Reduce the use of quinolones for the treatment of UTI and pneumonia
- 3. Reduce the overall incidence of C. difficile infections (CDI)

PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

Setting

- Rochester, NY
 33 NH
- Initially recruited 6 NH-expanded to 9
- Size: 120-500 beds
- Project started in 2014

ASP Implementation

- ASP implemented successively moving from one NH to another
- Tools and approach tailored depending on NH context and needs

Dissemination

- Through Medical Directors Advisory Group
- Regional workshops
- Website

CORE ELEMENTS OF ANTIMICROBIAL STEWARDSHIP IN NURSING HOMES-SEPT 2015

- I. Leadership support
- 2. Accountability
- 3. Drug expertise
- 4. Actions to improve use
- 5. Tracking
- 6. Reporting info to staff
- 7. Education



http://www.cdc.gov/getsmart/healthcare/implementation/core-elements.html

I. LEADERSHIP, ACCOUNTABILITY AND DRUG EXPERTISE



ANTIMICROBIAL STEWARDSHIP IS A TEAM EFFORT

- Nursing Home Administrator
- Medical Director
- Director of Nursing
- Director of Quality

- Infection Preventionist
- Nursing Educator
- NP/PA
- In house Dispensing Pharmacist
 - Consultant Pharmacist



Nursing Home Leadership



Nursing ASP Team Members

- Hospital Infectious Diseases physician
- Hospital Antimicrobial
 Stewardship Pharmacist
- Project Infection Preventionist and coordinator



Hospital AS Expert Team



II. TRACKING: MEASURING ANTIBIOTIC USE

4 NE	TITTN CAP 250110 71972	06/21/201 IU TWICE DAILY FO	73496			
1					QTY	
DRUG NAME	SIG			DATE WRITTEN	AUTH	QTY DISP
DOXYCYCLINE 100 MG CAPSULE	TAKE ONE CAPSULE PO TWICE	DAILY X 7 DAYS	(BRONCHITIS/COPD)	27-Jan-16	5 1	4 14
CIPROFLOXACIN 500MG TABS(*)	ONE TABLET PO TWICE	DAILY. (OSTEOMYELITIS)	(DC 2/8/16)	4-Jan-16	5 7	0 55
VANCOMYCIN 1 GM ADD-VAN VIA	INFUSE 1GM I.V. EVERY 12	HOURS OVER 60-90 MINUTES	(*Activate before use*)	12-Jan-16	5 6	0 8
VANCOMYCIN 1 GM ADD-VAN VIA	INFUSE 1GM I.V. EVERY 12	HOURS OVER 60-90 MINUTES	(*Activate before use*)	25-Jan-16	5 2	8 8
SULFAMETHOXAZOLE/TMP DS TAB	TAKE 1 TABLET BY MOUTH	TWICE DAILY X 14 DAYS.	(PYELONEPHRITIS)	11-Jan-16	5 2	8 2
CEFPODOXIME 200 MG TABLET	TAKE ONE TABLET PO EVERY	12 HOURS FOR 10 DAYS	(PYELONEPHRITIS)	12-Jan-16	5 2	0 7

Therap

061

		11		Ther
111			FWLTC0222	
	FWLTC0222	Thera	Dispense Date: 04/01/2016 to 06/30/2016	
routic	Dispense Date: 04/01/2016 to 06/30/201	6	Unit Dose Transformer Source: Rx History "Therapeutic Type: "FLUCROQUINOLONES"	-ba Aged
Therapeuce	Source: Rx History		Facility: 1000 - Standard Dr	cua
to 06/30/2016	Therapeucic Type. Tarractoning		RoNo Dispensed UCY	
mponents	Facility. 1000	10	Start: 04/26/2016	
JINS*	RoNo Dispensed 201	C: 00143314250	Nurse Station:4SE - 4 50	LENOET
R	Rx#: 470570 Days: 20 SIG: TAKE 1 CAPSULE BY MOUTH ON	NCE DAILY FOR PEMP	66626 05/11/2016 66626 493158 Days: av MOU	TH ONCE
Qty Drug	Start: 04/20/2016	TOTYCYCL HYC CAP 10	RX#: 400 SIG: TAKE 1 TABLET BI TO SIG: 06/11/2016	
8 500MG 9	2042 05/18/2016 28	NDC: 00143314250	Start: 051 - 4 SW	
4 AMOXICILLIN CAP SOUTH	SIG: TAKE 1 CAPSULE BY MOUTH	ONCE STO	Nurse Statter 05/31/2016	10
1 NDC: OUTH 1 HOUR BEFORE DE	Start: 05/15/2016 28	DOXYCYCL HYC CAP 100	69348 RX#: 491924 Days: make 1 TABLET P	BY MOUTH
3 (=2011 CAP 500MG 9	2042 28 Rx#: 494091 Days: 28 Days: BY MOUT	H ONCE DAILY FOR PEME	Start: 05/31/2016	
4 AMOXICILLIN NDC: 00781261301 DEFORE DENTAL	SIG: TAKE 1 CAPSOLL		70631 06/09/201	on BA AU
1 NDC. UNDC. DELET	Wingen Station: TCC3A - WTCC3	DURAND	1008 RX#: 49/RKE 1 TABL SIG: TAKE 1 TABL	EL Dr.
S CAP 500MG	Nullse 000-06/03/2016	10 DOXYCYCL HIC CAL 10 NDC: 00143314250	o DAY Start: OBIGST	- 1 M
4 AMOXICILLIN 00781261301 BEFORE DENTAL	69894 Rx#: 493610 Days: CAPSULE BY MO	OUTH ONCE DAILY FOR	Nurse Station.it.	012016
1 NDC NOUTH 1 HOUR DEF	SIG: TAKE 1 CHIEF		63821 475075	Days
*S (-20	station:TCC2A - WTC	C2 ONTARIO	CAP 100M SIG: TAKE 1	12016
11F	Nurse Station	20 DOXYCYCL HIC 10 NDC: 00143314	250 Start: Off	4125120
AMOX/K CLAV TAB For: gene	68041 . 487660 Days:	MOUTH TWICE DAILY	64543 417	218 Day
7 NDC: 6668510RS FOR DIVERS	SIG: TAKE 1 CAPSOLL - SIG: 05/20/2016		SIG: TA	KE 125/201
T BY MOUTH EVENT	start: 001- 8 h	W	Start:	tion: 6N
	Nurse Station: 04W	20 DOXYCYCL H	Nurse Sta	061
4 NE CAP 250MG	71972 06/21/2016 71972 Davs:	10 NDC: DAI	LY EOR 10 117 73496	001

METHODS FOR MEASURING FACILITY-WIDE ANTIBIOTIC DATA

Medication Administration data

Often not available electronically

Purchasing data

- Different from hospital as medications purchased in bulk
- Can be difficult for dispensing from a central pharmacy location to many facilities

Dispensing data

- Does not insure the antibiotic was administered
- Often the dispensing pharmacy is outside the facility

Manual collection

- Point prevalence
- Antibiotic starts

VARIATION IN ANTIBIOTIC USE



300



CDI INCIDENCE VARIED



Data collected by the Rochester/NY Emerging Infection Program

TOP I2 INDICATIONS BY DAYS OF THERAPY (DOT)



12 TOP INDICATIONS BY NUMBER OF RESIDENTS



MOST COMMON AGENTS USED FOR UTI

Linezolid Fluconazole Doxycycline Cefpodoxime Trimethoprim Amox/K Clav Ceftriaxone Ampicillin Amoxicillin SMZ-TMP Cephalexin Nitrofurantoin Levofloxacin Ciprofloxacin 20 40 60 80 0 100 **Number of Patients**

COLLABORATION WITH MICROBIOLOGY LAB

- Generate an antibiogram
- Number of urine cultures per month
- Improve the process:
 - of obtaining microbiology data and
 - the review and feedback of the results

URINE ANTIBIOGRAM

Organism	# of Isola tes	Ampicillin	Amoxicillin/Clav	Ampicillin/sulbactam	Aztreonam	Cefazolin	Ceftazidime	Ceftriaxone	Cefepime	Ciprofloxacin	Gentamicin	Imipenem	Levofloxacin	Piperacillin/tazobactam	Tobramycin	Trimethoprim/sulfa	Nitrofurantoin	Linezolid	Daptomycin	Vancomycin	Doxycycline	Tetracycline
Gram Negative																						
Organisms																						
Escherichia coli	87	62	90	72		92	94	94	94	62	89	100	50	99	90	86	97					
Klebsiella pneumonia *	19	0	100	100		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	47					
Proteus mirabilis *	25	84	96	92		88	100	100	100	88	92	92	88	100	96	88	0					
Gram Positive Organisms																						
Enterococcus faecalis *	12	100								75			75				100	100	100	100	42	42

*Differences in the % susceptible for an organism represented by <30 isolates may not be statistically significant from year to year.

MULTI-FACILITIES ANTIBIOGRAM

Nursing Home Antibiotic Susceptibility Profile

(Data Collected 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2016)

Percent of Non-Duplicate Patient Isolates Susceptible to Achievable Serum Levels

Antibiogram is a Compilation of Data from 12 Area Nursing Homes Each Having <= 200 Patient Beds

	olates								_																					
ORGANISM	No. of Non-duplicate lsc	Amikacin	Gentamicin	Tobramycin	Ampicillin	Amoxicillin-Clavulanate	Ampicillin-Sulbactam	Penicillin	Pipera cillin/Tazobactam	Oxacillin	lmipenem	Meropenem	Ertapenem	Aztreonam	Cefazolin	Cefepime	Ceftriaxone	Vancomycin	Linezolid	Erythromycin	Clindamycin	TMP-SMZ	ciprofloxacin	Levofloxacin	Moxifloxacin	Nitrofurantoin ^a	Tetracycline	Doxycycline	Tigecycline	Fosfomycin ^a
E. coli	336	100	91	98	50		77		99		100	100	100	93	87	99	91					80	67	72	72	97			100	
Kleb. pneumoniae	113	99	91	95	0		90		100			100	100	93	93	98	92					85	95			77			100	
Proteus mirabilis	150	100	95	100	83		98		100			100	100	99	97	100	100					80	75			0				
Ps. aeruginosa	100	99	90	96					87		83	94		87		95							78							
Staph aureus	51		96			34		9		34					34			100	98	25	49	94		25	61	100	94			
Enterococcus species	108				81			81										87	100					54		92	12			
Urine Isolates Only	٦																													
E. coli	50					66									84							72	70			96		74		100
Enterococcus species	50				92																		24			90		26		84

Prepared by CDwight J. Hardy, Ph.D. Director, Clinical Microbiology Laboratories URMC August 1, 2016

^aSusceptible to achievable levels in urine only.

III. ACTION TO IMPROVE ANTIBIOTIC USE FOR UTI



THE PROCESS OF ANTIBIOTIC PRESCRIBING DECISIONS

Clinical Situation

Diagnostic Process and Decision Makings Decision to treat or active monitoring

Monitor Clinical situation and Lab results Reassessment at 48-72 hours: Stop, or change antibiotic, decide on duration

UNDERSTANDING THE ANTIBIOTIC USE PATTERNS FOR URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS

	Assessment of Appropriateness of Antibiotics Urinary Tract Infection (UTI)										
	1. Patient Name:	Irinary Tract Infection	(UTI) Assessment								
	Li Facient Name.										
	DOB: Gender: DMale DFemale	Admission Date:	Nursing Home: Unit: _								
	2. Did the patient have a uninary catheter in place	e at the time of or in the	48 hours before urine specimen obtained?								
	3. Does the patient nave any or the following cor None History of renal transplant	CKidney stones	toppny;t DNeutropenia □Recurrent UTI □Urologic abnormality Specify:								
	4. Were any of the following signs/symptoms do Dysuria DNew onset o Urgency DFever (>38	cumented (check all that telirium DWBC >: C) or rigors Dincreas	apphyl2, □None 11,000 cells □ Other (please specify): ed incontinence								
	Ofrequency Nausea/vom Suprapubic pain Cloudy/foul smelling urine Gross hemat	iting 🛛 New or 🗆 Costow turia	iset retention								
	5. Was a urinalysis sent? Yes No Unknow	vn If YES, Date:									
	Urinalysis results: Durin avidant (2 5-10 WBCr / high normal field)?	Dier DNo Dilakaan	n KYES WBC count: DWBC Count Unknown								
	noted? IVes INo Unknow	n If YES, specify #/hi	gh power field:								
salo loong pro H	esults available? I Unknown	Leukocyte esteras Value:	e El Nitrites Value:								
tid per of the	e results:										
12/13/14 CH		Colony Count: Colony Count:	ESBL: □Yes □No ESBL: □Yes □No								
		Colony Count:	ESBL: U Yes DNo								
	Iture collection method:										
	Uindwelling catheter Straight	catneterization LIUnkn	own/method not specified 9 Were empiric antibiotics ordered prior to UA/culture result?								
10 (15 - algan. 2-11	ent receiving antibiotics within 24	hours prior to	Yes No Unknown If YES, Ordering Provider:								
the (Fall smelling)	e urine specimen?	-	Date:Day of week: Time: Name of antibiotic:								
(Mhe)			10. Were antibiotics ordered over the phone?								
			1								





CHICAGO JOURNALS



Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation of Fever and Infection in Older Adult Residents of Long-Term Care Facilities: 2008 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America

Kevin P. High,¹ Suzanne F. Bradley,^{2,3,4} Stefan Gravenstein,^{5,6,7,8} David R. Mehr,⁹ Vincent J. Quagliarello,¹⁰ Chesley Richards,^{11,12} and Thomas T. Yoshikawa^{13,14}

¹Section on Infectious Diseases, Wake Forest University Health Sciences, Winston Salem, North Carolina; Divisions of ²Infectious Diseases and ²Geriatrics, Geriatric Research Education and Clinical Center (GRECC). Veterans Affairs Ann Arbor Healthcare Svstem. and ⁴University of Michiaan School of Medicine, Ann Arbor, Michige and ⁴Department of Medicine, Alpert M Family and Community Medicine, Unive Development of Minimum Criteria for the Initiation of Antibiotics in Residents of Long-Term—Care Facilities: Results of a Consensus Conference \cdot

Author(s): Mark Loeb , MD, MSc; David W. Bentley , MD; Suzanne Bradley , MD; Kent Crossley , MD; Richard Garibaldi , MD; Nelson Gantz , MD; Allison McGeer , MD; Robert R. Muder , MD; Joseph Mylotte , MD; Lindsay E. Nicolle , MD; Brenda Nurse , MD; Shirley Paton , RN; Andrew E. Simor , MD; Philip Smith , MD; Larry Strausbaugh , MD

Source: Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology, Vol. 22, No. 2 (February 2001), pp. 120-124

Published by: The University of Chicago Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology

/stable/10.1086/501875





Surveillance Definitions of Infections in Long-Term Care Facilities: Revisiting the McGeer Criteria

IDSA GUIDELINES

Author(s): Nimalie D. Stone, MD: Muhammad S. Ashraf, MD: Jennifer Calder, PhD: Christopher J. Crnich, MD: Kent Crossley, MD: Paul J. Drinka, MD: Carolyn V. Gould, MD: Manisha Juthani-Mehta, MD: Ebbing Lautenbach, MD: Mark Loeb, MD: Taranisia MacCannell, PhD: Preeti N. Malani, MD: Lona Mody, MD: Joseph M. Mylotte, MD: Lindsay E. Nicolle, MD: Mary-Claire Roghmann, MD: Steven J. Schweon, MSN: Andrew E. Simor, MD: Philip W. Smith, MD: K ...

Reviewed work(s):

Source: Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology, Vol. 33, No. 10 (October 2012), pp. 965-977

Published by: The University of Chicago Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America

Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/667743

FINDINGS OF MEDICAL RECORD REVIEW-3NH

- I. Documentation of signs and symptoms of UTI was poor
- 2. Reasons for Testing: UTI testing was common for non urinary symptoms such as fall, confusion, foul smelling urine and weakness. Family concerns trigger a urine culture

3. Appropriateness of UTI testing & treatment:

- 1. 74% didn't meet criteria for testing
- 2. 76% didn't meet criteria for treatment
- 3. 78% didn't meet the revised McGeer surveillance criteria
- 4. **Treatment:** Often started after culture results (53%)
- 5. "Antibiotic time out": No 48-72 hours review

A POSITIVE CULTURE DRIVES TREATMENT





IV. DEVELOP UTI TESTING AND TREATMENT PROTOCOLS



V. EDUCATING NURSING STAFF





- A free online training for nurses offered by Dr. Robin Jump. https://robinjump.coursesites.com/
- Improve communication through use of SBAR: https://www.ahrq.gov/nhguide/toolkits/determine-whether-to-treat/toolkitl-suspected-uti-sbar.html

V. EDUCATION- MEDICAL PROVIDERS

Small group sessions

- Presentation of facility antibiotic use, CDI and UTI review data
- Review appropriate testing and treatment of UTI
- Review antibiogram
- Review locally created treatment guidelines
- Provided pocket treatment cards

Recommended

- Improvement of the documentation of signs and symptoms
- Clinical evaluation for every antibiotic prescription and at 48 hours, i.e. antibiotic "time out"

MEDICAL STAFF POCKET CARD

Table 1. When to TEST for UTI:



Stone et al. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2012;33(10):965-977

□ YES, Test indicated □ NO, Test not indicated

*Fever: Single oral temp >37.8°C (100°F), or repeat oral temps >37.2°C (99°F) or rectal temps >37.5°C (99.5°F), or single temp >1.1°C (2°F) over baseline from any site (oral, tympanic, axillary)

www.rochesterpatientsafety.com

Table 2. When to TREAT for UTI:



V. EDUCATION- FAMILY

Antibiotics: Balancing Benefit and Harm

Common myths

Sometimes, other symptoms that older adults experience can be confused with a UTI. The following symptoms **do not** necessarily indicate a UTI especially if there are no other urinary symptoms or fever:

- Confusion
- Falling
- Cloudy/foul-smelling urine
- Muscle weakness



Doctors and other practitioners are not always sure what may be causing the symptoms in a patient, and sometimes the best option for the patient is observation and monitoring.



VI. REPORTING ON ANTIBIOTIC USE DATA

FEEDBACK OF ANTIBIOTIC USE DATA



NUMBER OF ANTIBIOTIC STARTS FOR UTI AND URINE CULTURE RATE



Starts — Urine Culture Rate



INFECTION PREVENTIONIST CHAMPION

Goal to capture all urine cultures and UTI treatments

Worked with micro lab to get list of tested residents

Worked with pharmacy to get new antibiotic starts for UTI

Uncovered some of the limitation of the 24 hour report

Performed monthly assessments of tested and treated residents for signs and symptoms of UTI

<u>Feedback</u> to nurses and in house medical providers on the appropriateness of testing and treatment "We used to work in silos, now we talk to each other"

Line list of	f Urines O	btained		Month/Year_12/2	016	
Name	Room	Date/Symptoms	U/A results	Culture results	Met Criteria	Treated
	134	Cough, falls (afebrile)	<i>(</i> +)	12/14 7105 E. Coli	No	12/14 Azithromycin for Bronchitis
	116	12/20 Worsening CKB (afebrile)	Ð	12/22 7105 Mixed Colonie	s No	None
	206	12/7 D's mental Status weakness, low grade T (100.2)	P	12/12 7105 E. Coli	No	12/13 Cipro x 7 days
	218	urinary retention (afebrile)	(\mathcal{P})	12/13 7105 E.coli	No	12/15 Cipro × 3 days
	236	PWBC & "Hy of UTI'S " (afebrile)	\mathcal{P}	12/23 7105 E. Cali	N.	12/27 Ampicillin X 2 days
	211	12/7 fall, & confusion (afebrile)	(-)	No Growth	N.	None
	336	Judidor, Mincentinence (afebrile)	(-)	12/7 7105 E. coli	No	12/9 Keflex × 5days
	326	12/8 Full & 4. @ sided pain (afebrile)	(-)	12/18 None done	No	None
	429	TT, Lethargy, physical decline	Ð	12/23 7105 Proteus mirabilis	No	12/27 Ciprox 3 days
	415	12/19 rigors, wheezing, crackles		12/20 51-100,000		12/20

Antibiotic Name and Days of Therapy

Revised McGeer Criteria: Signs and Symptoms

	Α	в	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	к	L	м	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	V
1	Antibiotic start date	Days of therapy (DOT)	Resident code (no names)	Uni∜floo r	Prescriber code (no names)	Antibiotic name (enter one ABX per row)	Indication	Feve r (YłN)	Ceukocytosi S ((14 x 10 ³ cells/L) <u>or</u> left shift > (6% or 1.5 x 10 ⁹ bands/L)) (Y/N)	Rigor s (Y/N)	New onset hypotensi on (Y/N)	Acute costo- vertebral angle pain (YIN)	Suprapu bic pain (YłN)	Gross hematur ia (Y/N)	Incontinen Ce (new/marked increase) (Y/M)	Urgency (newharke d increase) (Y/N)	Frequenc y (new/marked increase) (Y/M)	Acute change in mental status/fun ct. decline,	Purulen t dischar ge @ catheter (YIN)	Acute dysuri a (Y/N)	pain, swelling, or tendnern ess of testes,	Indwelli ng catheter
2	5/1/2017	7	GD	2 North	xx	trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	UTI	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N
3	5/5/2017	14	BV	2 South	YY	ciprofloxacin	UTI	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
4	5/6/2017	10	BB	3 North	vv	levofloxacin	UTI	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y
5	5/9/2017	17	vv	2 South	BB	ciprofloxacin	UTI	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N
6	5/11/2017	14	vx	2 South	YY	ciprofloxacin	UTI	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Z	N	N	N	N
7	5/25/2017	10	YD	3 North	vv	levofloxacin	UTI	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y
8	6/1/2017	17	FB	2 South	BB	ciprofloxacin	UTI	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N
9	6/3/2017	10	BB	3 South	BB	nitrofurantoin	UTI	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
10	6/5/2017	7	NY	4 South	w	cefepime	UTI	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N
11	6/6/2017	0	NX	2 North				N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

48-72 hrs reassessment

Meets McGeer Criteria

×	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AH	Al	AJ
Date of Urine Culture	Urine culture source	Culture Result #1	colony counts (CFU/mL) #1	Culture Result #2	colony counts (CFUImL) #2	Re- Assessment within 48-72 hours of antibiotic start	Meets microbiologic criteria	Meets Revised Criteria A (no catheter)	Meets Revised Criteria B (has catheter)	Meets Revised McGeer Criteria
6/21/2017	in/out straight	Klebsiella pneumoniae	100,000			N	YES	YES	NO	YES
6/23/2017	clean catch	Proteus mirabilis	100,000			N	YES	NO	NO	NO
6/26/2017	indwelling	Mixed flora	50,000			N	NO	NO	NO	NO
6/22/2017	clean catch	Escherichia coli	100,000			Y	YES	YES	NO	YES
5/1/2017	clean catch	Proteus mirabilis	100,000			N	YES	NO	NO	NO
5/3/2017	indwelling	Mixed flora	30,000			N	NO	NO	NO	NO
5/5/2017	clean catch	Escherichia coli	100,000			Y	YES	YES	NO	YES
6/3/2017	clean catch	Escherichia coli	100,000	Methicillin Resist	50,000	Y	YES	YES	NO	YES
6/5/2017	clean catch	Klebsiella pneumoniae	100,000		¥	Y	YES	YES	NO	YES
6/6/2017	clean catch	No growth	0			N	NO	NO	NO	NO
6/7/2017	clean catch	- Klebsiella pneumoniae	50,000			N	NO	NO	NO	NO

v												
В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	К	L	М	
	total							reassessed	% of ABX starts			
	resident	# ABX	ABX starts per 1000	# days of	days of therapy per	# ABX starts that	% of ABX starts that	within 48-72	reassessed within 48-	#urine	urine cultures per	
month	days	starts	resident days	therapy	1000 resident days	met criteria	met criteria	hours	72 hrs	cultures	1000 resident days	
5/1/2017	2000	8	4	96	48	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	3	2	
6/1/2017	2000	12	6	88	44	3	25.0%	3	25.0%	8	4	
7/1/2017	1900	7	3.7	55	28.9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	2	

UTI TESTING AND TREATMENT SUMMARY



ANOTHER CHAMPION

- IP worked with IT to create a daily report on antibiotic and culture orders from electronic medical records
- Obtained from dispensing pharmacy daily list of residents on antibiotics
- Generated Antibiotic DOT and Rate of UTI Treatment
- Educating staff across the facility on asymptomatic bacteriuria and antibiotics harm



PHARMACIST CHAMPIONS

- Review of every order for quinolones for appropriateness
 Suggest alternative
- 2. Review urine culture results and apply the revised McGeer criteria
 - Provide written feedback
- 3. Collaboration between consultant pharmacist and IP

EXAMPLE

• Red fields filled out by IP; blue fields by consultant pharmacist

Residents without a catheter **Other Symptoms** (Urinary urgency, frequency, pain, Patient Culture Dysuria Fever Appropriate Appropriate Appropriate Positive hematuria, Medication DOT Indication UA (Y/N) Sensitive (Y/N)(Y/N)Allergies abx? Dose? Date Name incontinence) DOT?

Residents with catheter

Date	Patient Name	Medication	DOT	Indication	Positive UA (Y/N)	Culture Sensitive	Symptoms (Fever, rigors, delirium,	Allergies	Appropriate abx?	Appropriate DOT?	Appropriate Dose
							flank pain, hematuria,				
							pelvic discomfort, lethargy,				
							CVA tenderness)				

Courtesy of Brandi Van Valkenburg Pharm D, BCGP

ACTION: IMPROVE DOCUMENTATION OF ANTIBIOTIC INDICATION

ANTIBIO	TIC ORDERING AND TRACKING FO	ORM	I make sure all the
Resident information (can use sticker): Patient Name:	Unit: Height:	Date:	antibiotic orders
Date of Birth:	Weight:		have an indication
Allergies: Medication:			have an indication
Drug:			
Dose: Frequency & Route:		Nurses' s Signature/Date	
Duration: Indication:	Dispense as Written		
Prescriber signature/Date/Time: Optional Symptom/HPI Documentation: Fever Y N Cough Y Dysuria Y N Sputum Y Abdominal pain Y N Diarrhea Y Other symptoms/Risk Factors:	N Urinary Catheter Y N N Central Line Y N N Ventilator Y N		

Date/Time:



ACTION: IMPLEMENT A PROCESS FOR ANTIBIOTIC "TIME OUT"

Resident Name

Nursing Reevaluation of Antibiotic Initiation

RN assessment to be completed between 48 and 72 hours

This is not necessary if the antibiotic order states "per hospital plan"

Current Antibiotic Order

Vital Signs (please include range for the past 48 – 72 hours)

Observations:

Available Lab Data:

_Resident is stable and showing signs of improvement - no action necessary

_____Symptoms have resolved - **notify provider** for potential adjustment in course of antibiotic therapy

__New lab data available - notify provider and review

Sensitivities show resistance to the antibiotic that the resident is receiving - notify provider

Date / Time:

Signature:

SUCCESSES

Citywide Spread of Tools and Guidelines Collaboration between different disciplines in and across NH

- Recognition of the importance of implementing an ASP
- Many of the nursing homes are "taking ownership" of their ASP
- NH-dispensing pharmacies
- NH-Microbiology labs
- Nursing home facilities IP staff
- Nursing home Medical Directors

CDI RATE FOR COLLABORATIVE NH

2.5



Data collected by the Rochester/NY Emerging Infections Program

CDI RATE-IN RELATION TO RECENT HOSPITAL DISCHARGE



CHALLENGES

- Lack of infrastructure to independently implement an ASP (dedicated personnel, expertise, easily retrievable data)
- Interest does not always translate into action because of competing priorities and lack of dedicated time
- High staff turnover rate
- IP wears many hats, limited infection control training
- Difficult to adapt to new systems (e.g. NHSN reporting, use of Excel program)
- Pressure to avoid re-hospitalization

SOLUTIONS/SUSTAINABILITY

- Obtain assistance by experts outside the NH to help initiate the ASP
- Need a NH champion (physician, nurse, IP, NP, PA, consultant/dispensing pharmacist) with <u>dedicated time</u> to lead/assist with the ASP
- Requires leadership buy-in and a job description that includes antimicrobial stewardship
- Involve multiple team members to provide resilience and flexibility in case of personnel change (ASP is a TEAM Effort)
- Antimicrobial stewardship needs to be integrated into the daily work flow and NH quality and performance improvement (QAPI)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Hospital Stewardship Team

Christina Felsen, MPH

Gail Quinlan, RN CIC

Grant Barney

Elizabeth Dodds Ashely, Pharm D

Guidelines and tool development

Alexandra Yamshchikov

Dallas Nelson, MD

Timothy Holahan, MD

Joseph Nicholas, MD

Pharmacy

All the Nursing Homes Dispensing Pharmacists

Vince Galetta, Pharm D, Buffalo Pharmacies

Brandi Van Valkenburg, Pharm D , Health Direct Pharmacy

Nursing Homes

All the Medical Directors

All the Nursing Home Staff

C. difficile surveillance team

Rebecca Tsay, MPH

Deb Nelson, RN

Trupti Hatwar, MPH

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

Our website: www.Rochesterpatientsafety.com

Nursing Home Antimicrobial Stewardship Guide: https://www.ahrq.gov/nhguide/index.html

Do Bugs Need Drugs, Antimicrobial Stewardship in Long Term Care Facilities: <u>http://www.dobugsneeddrugs.org/health-care-professionals/antimicrobial-stewardship-in-ltcf/</u>

Improving Evaluation of Urinary Tract Infections in the Elderly: Massachusetts coalition: http://www.macoalition.org/evaluation-and-treatment-uti-in-elderly.shtml

Promoting Wise Antibiotic Use In Nursing Homes: https://nursinghomeinfections.unc.edu/

Minnesota Antimicrobial Stewardship Program Toolkit for Long-term Care Facilities: <u>http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/idepc/dtopics/antibioticresistance/asp/ltc/</u>

