Committee Members:  
Adam Canary, LPN, Chair 
Edie Higby, Public Member 
Lois Hoell, MS, MBA, RN 
Sharon Ness, RN 
Tiffany Randich, LPN 
Tracy Rude, LPN ad hoc - *excused* 
Dawn Morrell, RN, BSN, CCRN

Staff:  
Catherine Woodard, Associate Director, Discipline 
Karl Hoehn, Legal Manager 
Grant Hulteen, Chief Investigator 
John Furman, Director, WHPS 
Helen Budde, Case Manager – *excused* 
Alex Bielaski – Compliant Intake 
Barb Elsner, HSC

From the public:  
Diane Sampson. graduate student, Gonzaga U
I. 3:30 PM Opening – Adam
   • Call to order – Digital recording announcement
     o Meeting called to order at 3:30 pm.
   • Roll call

II. January 22, 2019 Minutes – Adam
   o Approved.

III. Performance Measures (Investigations, Legal, and WHPS) – Grant, Karl, and John
   o The subcommittee would like the Legal performance measures formatted similarly to Investigations.
   o John reported that as his shop is transitioning to Recovery Trek, he has no raw numbers to report yet.
   o WHPS is noticing a trend in alcohol use in the past 6-8 months.

IV. Procedure Review: DUI Arrests and Convictions Reports – Grant
   o The subcommittee recommends bringing the final draft to the commission for a vote to adopt at the next business meeting in May. “Good procedure!”

V. Procedure Review – A07.02 Anonymous Complaints and A06.07 Review of Commission Reports – Catherine (on Helen’s behalf)
   o After two small wording edits, the subcommittee is also ready to bring these two procedures to the commission for a vote to adopt at the May business meeting.
   o The subcommittee recommends a review for the CMT panel after adoption.

VI. Revised Nursing complaint form – Alex
   o Staff will use this updated paper form to update the online form for reporting.
   o No questions. “Looks great!”

VII ARNP licensure v. Complaints – Lois and Catherine
   o Discussion about the increase in ARNP licensure that is driving an increase in ARNP complaints. ARNP cases tend to be more complex, and the respondents are more often represented.

VIII Texas A&M Sim Lab – Catherine
   o A great deal of discussion about this concept. Highlights include:
     o This is sensational and I think we should pursue it! It’s an opportunity, but is it a possibility? (Tiffany)
     o Would take big collaboration between schools and discipline. What percentage of the cost would the nurse bear v. the institution absorbing the cost? Another factor to consider is that Texas KSTAR doesn’t have enough data to show if nurses who go through this recidivate at a lower rate. Are there better outcomes for the public? Discipline types and educators must collaborate. Is it a full program or specific tasks? Comprehensive or a subset? How is it funded? How did Texas get the funding? (Karl)
     o Curious about the costs of the program. Cannot be a catch-all. (Dawn)
We’ve talked about the Arizona program. Seven nurses in remediation passed the written test, but four failed the practical test. Every time we explored this avenue, it doesn’t work. We have explored different avenues and they go nowhere. What are all the factors that contribute to testing? What’s the best way to demonstrate knowledge? (Lois)

A skills lab facility shows people. (Tiffany)

It’s good to have a behavioral component in the testing in order to place nurses in a setting where they can excel. (Dawn)

It would be good to evaluate nurses in the deficient skills that were found in the complaint. Where did the error occur? (Dawn)

This might open up a discussion to encourage the nurses to consider another field. (Karl)

It is complex. Sometimes all the holes in the cheese line up to produce errors. (Lois)

We probably won’t get far, but would be good to investigate further. (Tiffany)

Agrees with Tiffany. What are the next steps? (Adam)

Is this the best use of our best resources? To look further, Mindy, Karl, and Paula may be helpful. (Lois)

Agrees with Lois about using resources wisely. We have so many cases. No stats from Texas or Arizona. (Sharon)

Thinks the same as Dawn. We could introduce to institutions and see what they think. Maybe ask Gerianne to do this work? (Edie)

Texas said they could provide the cost information. (Karl)

All agreed to add to the work plan and conduct an initial exploration.

IX

Work Plan – Adam

Review status of items on the work plan

Very happy to move some completed items off the work plan.

Lois is looking forward to seeing the school trends in the demographic data coming next month. NCSBN had good information about the underage and overage of nurses in other states. WA is doing well; we are population-appropriate.

X.

Meeting Evaluation – All

Excited about the progress on the work plan! Thinks KSTAR is encouraging as hands-on learning is important.

Likes getting the procedures done.

Thanks to staff for the procedures, we continue to do the right thing.

Good meeting. Thank you to staff. We always have things ready to view. Appreciated the diversity of the conversations.

Appreciates the staff work. Ideas come flying out.

Great job.

Went well. Nothing to add.

Thank you to Lois for edits on the procedures.

It’s a pleasure to work with the subcommittee.

Happy to complete tasks on the work plan.

WA State does best. Thanks to staff.
XI. Closing
   o Meeting adjourned at 4:35 pm.