

Source Water Protection Assessment of Your Wellhead Protection Delineation Method

DOH 331-636, 7/2019

This general guide will help you assess the risks associated with using a Calculated Fixed Radius (CFR) method to determine the boundaries of—or delineating—your wellhead protection area. Since each water system is unique, please consult DOH's source water protection staff for a more thorough assessment of the risks posed by your current delineation method.

Well Production	Specific Capacity	Susceptibility	Land Use	Total P
	Specific Capacity	Susceptimitity	Lallu USE	IUlal P
Your well's annual production can be measured in millions of gallons per year (mg/y). If you do not know your well's annual production, you can estimate it using the number of Equivalent Residential Units (ERUs).	Your well's "specific capacity" is the quantity of water your well can produce per unit of drawdown (expressed in gallons per minute/feet, or gpm/ft).	Your well's source susceptibility (doh.wa.gov/SWAPmaps) is intended to determine the likeliness of contamination. It is based on the determination of your well's physical characteristics (construction and source type) and historical samples.*	The land uses surrounding your well can impact its risk of contamination. If there are multiple types of land uses surrounding your well, please choose the highest rated one.	Add up your total p (a) well production (b) specific capac susceptibility; and Points
High Over 110 mg/y (or over 750 ERUs) 3 points	High Greater than 2 gpm/ft 3 points	High See DOH rating 3 points	High Industrial/manufacturing, mining, urban mixed use, and business/ commercial with high risks (i.e. dry cleaner, junk yard, underground tanks.) 3 points	9–12 Tota There is a grea accuracy about th your wellhead pr
Medium Between 26 and 109 mg/y (or from 180 to 750 ERUs) 2 points	Medium Between 1 and 2 gpm/ft 2 points	Medium See DOH rating 2 points	Medium Agricultural, business/commercial without high risk uses, transportation, urban or suburban (non-sewered), and suburban mixed use. 2 points	7-8 Total There is a need for the boundaries of protectio
Low Under 26 mg/y (or under 180 ERUs) 1 point	Low Under 1 gpm/ft 1 point	Low See DOH rating 1 point	Low Urban or suburban residential (sewered), rural residential, forest, undeveloped, open space, and wetlands 1 point	4-6 Total There is lesser new about the bound wellhead prote

Note: To use DOH's Source Water Assessment Program (SWAP) Mapping Application, enable Adobe Flash and click on the binoculars icon to search. Then choose "Time of Travel—All Group A" for the search layer; then enter either your system ID or system name. The results will include the "Source Susceptibility" at the bottom of the list.

*Criteria evaluated by DOH include: source type, well depth and open interval, whether the well has an adequate surface seal, does the well have artesian flow, is a well log available, is the aquifer confined, and certain water quality parameters such as nitrate, VOCs, and SOCs.

If you need this publication in an alternative format, call 800.525.0127 (TDD/TTY call 711). This and other publications are available at doh.wa.gov/drinkingwater.

Washington State Department of Health

Points

al points for your ion, acity, and (c) nd (d) land use.

al Points

eater need for the boundaries of protection area.

al Points

or accuracy about of your wellhead tion area.

al Points

need for accuracy Indaries of your otection area.

Assessment

Below is a general assessment of whether using a Calculated Fixed Radius (CFR) to delineate your wellhead protection area puts your water source at greater risk of contamination.

Using a CFR method puts your water supply at high risk of contamination. Strongly consider using hydrogeologic mapping or numerical modeling instead to avoid protecting areas that may reduce economic growth.

Using a CFR method might put your water supply at moderate risk of contamination. Consider using analytical modeling or hydrogeologic mapping instead.

Using a CFR method might put your water supply at lower risk of contamination. Perhaps consider using a more advanced method instead, such as analytical modeling.