#### **CODE REVISER USE ONLY**

# STATE OF A STATE OF A

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

# **CR-102 (December 2017)** (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

Agency: State Board of	of Health		
⊠Original Notice			
☐Supplemental Notic	ce to WSR		
☐Continuance of WS	SR .		
⊠Preproposal Stater	nent of Inqu	iry was filed as WSR <u>18-01-080</u> ;	; or
■Expedited Rule Ma	kingPropo	sed notice was filed as WSR ; or	r
☐Proposal is exemp	t under RCV	V 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).	
☐Proposal is exemp	t under RC\	<b>V</b> .	
supplies. The proposal substances (PFAS), fedrinking water quality salso establishes SALs perfluorohexane sulfon proposal requires Group A water systems monitoring, with the fre reporting, recordkeeping	makes ame derally unrequant transfer five PFA; ic acid (PFH) p A community that have conguency of mag, and consiires follow-unregized.	gulated contaminants. The proposal state action levels (SAL) and state S contaminants: perfluorooctanoic (IxS), and perfluorononanoic acid (Finity and nontransient noncommunit letections of PFAS, but do not excellenting based upon the detected umer confidence report requirements.	Chapter 246-290 WAC, Group A public water requirements regarding per- and polyfluoroalkyl al establishes the administrative processes for setting e maximum contaminant levels (MCLs). The proposal acid (PFOA), perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS), PFNA) and perfluorobutane sulfonic acid (PFBS). The ity public water systems to test for PFAS. For those eed the SAL, the proposal requires additional ongoing devel in comparison to the SAL. It also establishes ints. For those Group A water systems that exceed the lic notification, and additional recordkeeping and
Hearing location(s):	•		
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:
10/13/2021	1:30 pm	In response to the COVID-19 pandemic and public health emergency, the State Board of Health will not provide a physical location for this hearing to promote social distancing and the safety of the citizens of Washington State. A virtual public hearing, without a physical meeting space, will be held instead. Please register for the public hearing for Chapter 246-290 WAC, Group A public water supplies.	

Submit written comments to:

Name: Jocelyn W. Jones

Address: Washington State Department of Health

Date of intended adoption: 10/13/2021 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)

Office of the Assistant Secretary PO Box 47820-7820

Email: https://fortress.wa.gov/doh/policyreview

Fax: N/A

Other: PFAS1@doh.wa.gov By (date) 09/03/2021

### Assistance for persons with disabilities:

Contact <u>Jocelyn W. Jones</u> Phone: 360-236-3020

Fax: N/A TTY: 711

Email: jocelyn.jones@doh.wa.gov Other: PFAS1@doh.wa.gov By (date) 07/29/2021

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The purpose of the proposal is to protect public health by establishing SALs for PFAS, which are currently unregulated contaminants without an established maximum contaminant level (MCL), and which may be present in Washington state Group A public drinking water systems.

At a minimum, Group A water systems will be required to take one sample every three years for each active and permanent or seasonal source to determine if the drinking water is contaminated with PFAS.

The anticipated effect of the proposal is to inform customers of Group A drinking water systems about the quality of the drinking water. Group A water systems with an exceedance of any PFAS SAL must notify their customers so they can make more informed decisions about their health and the health of their families.

In addition, the Department of Health (department) and purveyors of Group A water systems will have more data available upon which to base future decisions regarding PFAS drinking water contamination and potential mitigation options, should they be necessary to protect public health.

The proposal also establishes the process the board and the department would be required to follow should it ever be necessary to establish a state MCL.

Reasons supporting proposal: Reasons supporting proposal: PFAS are chemicals that have been used in industry and consumer products such as carpeting, apparels, upholstery, food paper wrappings, fire-fighting foams, and metal plating worldwide since the 1950s. Wide use combined with their persistent and bioaccumulative properties have led to widespread detection of PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, and PFNA in the blood serum of the general U.S. population. Average serum levels of PFAS may be more than 100 times higher than national norms in communities exposed via contaminated drinking water and currently the state has limited data on PFAS contamination in Group A water systems in Washington state.

A recent Center for Disease Control / Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry study in the community of Airway Heights, Washington showed that study participants had mean serum levels of PFHxS that were 60 times higher than national norms even two years after PFAS contamination had been fully mitigated in their community drinking water. Mean serum levels of PFOS and PFOA in participant's serum were 10 and six times higher than national norms, respectively.

Health concerns about PFAS stem from the wide range of adverse effects observed in animal testing. Effects of the best studied PFAS include liver, kidney, thyroid and immune toxicity; developmental and reproductive toxicity, hormone disruption and tumors in certain organs like the liver. The specific profile of effects and the weight of evidence varies by the PFAS examined.

Monitoring for the five proposed PFAS contaminants in the state's Group A water systems will assist the state in identifying PFAS contamination in Group A water systems statewide and is intended to help the department locate sources of contamination and allow for source control measures to be implemented, stopping future contamination from occurring.

Public notification requirements will inform drinking water customers if their drinking water exceeds a SAL, providing customers with information that can protect their health and safety and that of their families.

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 43.20.050

Statute being implemented: RCW 70A.125.080

ls rule necessary	because of a:						
Federal Lav	☐ Yes ⊠ No						
Federal Co	☐ Yes ⊠ No						
State Court	☐ Yes ⊠ No						
If yes, CITATION:							
Agency commen matters: None		ny, as to statutory language, implementati	on, enforcement, and fiscal				
Name of propone	ent: (person or organization)	State Board of Health	☐Private ☐Public ☑Governmental				
Name of agency	personnel responsible for:						
	Name	Office Location	Phone				
Drafting:	Jocelyn W. Jones	111 Israel Rd SE, Tumwater, WA 98501	360-236-3020				
Implementation:	ODW Director	111 Israel Rd SE, Tumwater, WA 98501	360-236-3178				
Enforcement:	ODW Director	111 Israel Rd SE, Tumwater, WA 98501	360-236-3178				
Is a school distriction of the second state of the second	-	equired under RCW 28A.305.135?	☐ Yes ⊠ No				
Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:	: :	istrict fiscal impact statement by contacting:					
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?							
Name: J	eliminary cost-benefit analysis ocelyn W. Jones : Department of Health PO Box 47820 Olympia Washington 9850	may be obtained by contacting: 4-7820					
Fax: N/A TTY: 71 Email: jo	1 ocelyn.jones@doh.wa.gov fas1@doh.wa.gov						

Regulatory	Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Sma	II Busine	ss Economic Impact Statement:
	posal, or portions of the proposal, <b>may be exen</b> 5 RCW). Please check the box for any applicab		requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see
This rule	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exemplely to conform and/or comply with federal statute	t under R or regula	CW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being ations. Please cite the specific federal statute or escribe the consequences to the state if the rule is not
Citation and This rule			the agency has completed the pilot rule process le.
☐ This rule		-	e provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was
☐ This rule	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt	t under R	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)
	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempted of exemptions, if necessary:	t under R	CW.
	COMPLETE THIS SECTION O	ONLY IF	NO EXEMPTION APPLIES
If the propos			osts (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?
☐ No	Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing	ng how c	osts were calculated.
economic The majo costs are monitorin	c impact statement is required. Insert statement brity of estimated costs of the proposed rule are relatively fixed and are not contingent or impac	here: associate ted by the em's CCF	-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business d with collecting and analyzing the samples. These e size of the business. Public notices, follow-up are not required unless a Group A water system
Because business	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	sumes tha	at that rule will have a disproportionate impact on small
The p		conomic i	mpact statement or the detailed cost calculations by
Ad Ph Fa TT En	ime: Jocelyn W. Jones Idress: Department of Health PO Box 47820 Ol Ione: 360-236-3020 x: N/A Y: 711 nail: jocelyn.jones@doh.wa.gov her: pfas1@doh.wa.gov		
Date:		Signatu	re: Place signature here
Name: .Mich	nelle A. Davis		see eignature note
Title: Execu	tive Director		